# **Graph Theory and Its Applications**

Dr. G.H.J. Lanel

Lecture 11

Dr. G.H.J. Lanel (USJP)

Graph Theory and Its Applications

Lecture 11 1 / 36

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

Outline

### Outline

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

### Outline

### Matchings

- Maximum Matchings
- M-augmenting path
- Hall's Theorem
- The marriage theorem

# 2 Covering

Matchings-ExamplesMatching in a bipartite graph

### 4 Covering-Examples

A (10) A (10) A (10)

# Matching problems

# • Some real-world problems involve finding matching pairs in a group.

- For example, we might want to allocate jobs to candidates. There are a number of candidates who are qualified for each job; what is the arrangement which leaves all positions filled?
- What if the candidates are qualified for different jobs to different extents. i.e. some matchings are preferable to others?

# Matching problems

- Some real-world problems involve finding matching pairs in a group.
- For example, we might want to allocate jobs to candidates. There are a number of candidates who are qualified for each job; what is the arrangement which leaves all positions filled?
- What if the candidates are qualified for different jobs to different extents. i.e. some matchings are preferable to others?

# Matching problems

- Some real-world problems involve finding matching pairs in a group.
- For example, we might want to allocate jobs to candidates. There are a number of candidates who are qualified for each job; what is the arrangement which leaves all positions filled?
- What if the candidates are qualified for different jobs to different extents. i.e. some matchings are preferable to others?

### **Matchings**



Two possible matchings in a simple graph.

- A matching is a subset of edges in a graph which have no common vertices.
- For each edge *M* in a matching, the two vertices at either end are matched.
- A matching *M* is maximum if as many vertices are matched as possible.
- A perfect matching is one in which every vertex is matched.
- An *M*-alternating path in a graph is one in which the edges are alternately in *M* and  $G \setminus M$ .

- A matching is a subset of edges in a graph which have no common vertices.
- For each edge *M* in a matching, the two vertices at either end are matched.
- A matching *M* is maximum if as many vertices are matched as possible.
- A perfect matching is one in which every vertex is matched.
- An *M*-alternating path in a graph is one in which the edges are alternately in *M* and  $G \setminus M$ .

- A matching is a subset of edges in a graph which have no common vertices.
- For each edge *M* in a matching, the two vertices at either end are matched.
- A matching *M* is maximum if as many vertices are matched as possible.
- A perfect matching is one in which every vertex is matched.
- An *M*-alternating path in a graph is one in which the edges are alternately in *M* and  $G \setminus M$ .

- A matching is a subset of edges in a graph which have no common vertices.
- For each edge *M* in a matching, the two vertices at either end are matched.
- A matching *M* is maximum if as many vertices are matched as possible.
- A perfect matching is one in which every vertex is matched.
- An *M*-alternating path in a graph is one in which the edges are alternately in *M* and  $G \setminus M$ .

- A matching is a subset of edges in a graph which have no common vertices.
- For each edge *M* in a matching, the two vertices at either end are matched.
- A matching *M* is maximum if as many vertices are matched as possible.
- A perfect matching is one in which every vertex is matched.
- An *M*-alternating path in a graph is one in which the edges are alternately in *M* and  $G \setminus M$ .

# **Maximal Matchings**

#### Definition

Given a graph  $G = \langle V, E \rangle$  a **matching** is a collection of edges M such that  $e_i, e_i \in M \Rightarrow e_i, e_i$  are vertex disjoint.

#### Definition

**A maximal matching** is a matching that can not be improved w.r.t. current matching.

#### Maximum Matchings

### Definition

A **maximum matching** is a matching of maximum cardinality (over all possible matchings in a graph G); v(G) denotes the cardinality of a maximum matching in G.

### (a maximal but not maximum matching; a maximum matching)

A **maximum matching** is a matching of maximum cardinality (over all possible matchings in a graph *G*); v(G) denotes the cardinality of a maximum matching in *G*.



Given a matching M, an M-exposed vertex is a vertex not incident with any edge in M; an M-covered vertex is a vertex incident with any edge in M.

#### Definition

Given a graph  $G = \langle V, E \rangle$ , a **perfect matching** is a matching with deficiency  $def(G) = |V| - 2 \cdot v(G) = 0$ .

Given a matching M in a graph G, a path P composed of edges that alternately belong to and do not belong to M is called an M-alternating path.

#### Definition

An M-alternating path *P* is an *M*-augmenting path if the first and last vertices are *M*-exposed.

M-augmenting path

A matching  $M = \{e_{ab}\}$ 



Figure shows a simple graph with a matching  $M = \{e_{ab}\}$ . The path from *c* to *a* to *b* to *d* is an *M* alternating path, because the edges in the path alternately belong to the matching ( $e_{ca} \notin M$ ;  $e_{ab} \in M$ ;  $e_{bd} \notin M$ ). The same path is also an *M*-augmenting path because its endpoints, *c* and *d* are *M*-exposed; that is, they are not incident with any edge in *M*.

#### Theorem

A matching M in a graph  $G = \langle V, E \rangle$  is maximum if and only if there is no M-augmenting path.

#### Theorem (Hall's Theorem)

For a subset  $S \subseteq V$  of vertices in a graph, define the neighborhood as all the vertices which are adjacent to S. There is a matching in the graph if for all subsets S,  $|N(S)| \leq |S|$ .

• • • • • • • • • • • •



#### Here there is a subset of jobs for which |N(S)| < |S|.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨ

#### Theorem (The marriage theorem)

In a k-regular bipartite graph with k non-zero, there is a perfect matching. So, if there are a group of men M, and a group of women W, and every man knows k women, then everybody can be paired off with someone they know.

• If all the vertices in *M* and *W* have the same degree, then |M| = |W|.

- Every subset  $S \subseteq M$  has k |S| edges incident to it.
- Therefore the subset *S* has a neighborhood containing at least |*S*| vertices.
- Therefore  $|N(S)| \ge |S|$ .

• Therefore there is a perfect matching according to Hall's theorem.

Proof continue...

- If all the vertices in *M* and *W* have the same degree, then |M| = |W|.
- Every subset  $S \subseteq M$  has k |S| edges incident to it.
- Therefore the subset *S* has a neighborhood containing at least |*S*| vertices.
- Therefore  $|N(S)| \ge |S|$ .
- Therefore there is a perfect matching according to Hall's theorem.
- Proof continue...

- If all the vertices in *M* and *W* have the same degree, then |M| = |W|.
- Every subset  $S \subseteq M$  has k |S| edges incident to it.
- Therefore the subset *S* has a neighborhood containing at least |*S*| vertices.
- Therefore  $|N(S)| \ge |S|$ .
- Therefore there is a perfect matching according to Hall's theorem.
- Proof continue...

- If all the vertices in *M* and *W* have the same degree, then |M| = |W|.
- Every subset  $S \subseteq M$  has k |S| edges incident to it.
- Therefore the subset *S* has a neighborhood containing at least |*S*| vertices.
- Therefore  $|N(S)| \ge |S|$ .

• Therefore there is a perfect matching according to Hall's theorem.

Proof continue...

- If all the vertices in *M* and *W* have the same degree, then |M| = |W|.
- Every subset  $S \subseteq M$  has k |S| edges incident to it.
- Therefore the subset *S* has a neighborhood containing at least |*S*| vertices.
- Therefore  $|N(S)| \ge |S|$ .
- Therefore there is a perfect matching according to Hall's theorem.
- Proof continue...

Dr. G.H.J. Lanel (USJP)

- If all the vertices in *M* and *W* have the same degree, then |M| = |W|.
- Every subset  $S \subseteq M$  has k |S| edges incident to it.
- Therefore the subset *S* has a neighborhood containing at least |*S*| vertices.
- Therefore  $|N(S)| \ge |S|$ .
- Therefore there is a perfect matching according to Hall's theorem.
- Proof continue...

Dr. G.H.J. Lanel (USJP)

### Outline

### Matchings

- Maximum Matchings
- M-augmenting path
- Hall's Theorem
- The marriage theorem

# 2 Covering

Matchings-ExamplesMatching in a bipartite graph

### 4 Covering-Examples

Given a graph  $G = \langle V, E \rangle$ , a **cover** is a set of vertices  $A \subseteq V$  such that for every edge  $e = vw \in E$ , either  $v \in A$  or  $w \in A$ .

### Outline

### Matchings

- Maximum Matchings
- M-augmenting path
- Hall's Theorem
- The marriage theorem

### 2 Covering

# Matchings-ExamplesMatching in a bipartite graph

### 4 Covering-Examples

Let G = (V, E), be a graph. A subgraph is called a matching M(G), if each vertex of G is incident with at most one edge in M,

i.e. in the matching,  $deg(v) \leq 1, \forall v \in G$ ,

which means in the matching graph M(G), the vertices should have a degree of 1 or 0, where the edges should be incident from the graph *G*. Example

Let G = (V, E), be a graph. A subgraph is called a matching M(G), if each vertex of G is incident with at most one edge in M,

i.e. in the matching,  $deg(v) \leq 1, \forall v \in G$ ,

which means in the matching graph M(G), the vertices should have a degree of 1 or 0, where the edges should be incident from the graph G.

Example



Matchings-Examples



Lecture 11 20 / 36

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ○ 臣 ○ ○ ○ ○

 $M_1, M_2, M_3$  from the above graph are the maximal matching of G.

### Example of Maximum matching

Maximum matching is defined as the maximal matching with maximum number of edges. It is also known as largest maximal matching. The number of edges in the maximum matching of G is called its matching number.

 $M_1, M_2, M_3$  from the above graph are the maximal matching of G.

### Example of Maximum matching

Maximum matching is defined as the maximal matching with maximum number of edges. It is also known as largest maximal matching. The number of edges in the maximum matching of G is called its matching number.



For a graph given in the above example,  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are the maximum matching of *G* and its matching number is 2.

#### **Example of Perfect matching**

A matching M of graph G is said to be a perfect match, if every vertex of graph G is incident to exactly one edge of the matching M,

i.e. in the matching,  $deg(v) = 1, \forall v \in G$ 

The degree of each and every vertex in the subgraph should have a degree of 1.

 $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are examples of perfect matching of *G*.

**Note**: Every perfect matching of graph is also a maximum matching of graph, because there is no chance of adding one more edge in a perfect matching graph.

A maximum matching of graph need not be perfect.

If a graph *G* has a perfect match, then the number of vertices |V| is even.

If it is odd, then the last vertex pairs with the other vertex, and finally there remains a single vertex which cannot be paired with any other vertex for which the degree is zero. It clearly violates the perfect matching principle.

If it is odd, then the last vertex pairs with the other vertex, and finally there remains a single vertex which cannot be paired with any other vertex for which the degree is zero. It clearly violates the perfect matching principle.



• • • • • • • • • • • • •

**Note**: The converse of the above statement need not be true. If *G* has even number of vertices, then the maximum matching need not be perfect.

It is matching, but it is not a perfect match, even though it has even number of vertices.

**Note**: The converse of the above statement need not be true. If *G* has even number of vertices, then the maximum matching need not be perfect.

It is matching, but it is not a perfect match, even though it has even number of vertices.



#### **Example**: For the bipartite graph

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

#### Matching in a bipartite graph

#### **Example**: For the bipartite graph





イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

### Examples

For the matching  $S = \{(v_1, w_3), (v_3, w_2), (v_4, w_6), (v_5, w_5)\}$ , an augmenting path (*p*) is given by the vertices with the order  $v_2, w_2, v_3, w_6, v_4, w_1$ .

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

### Examples

For the matching  $S = \{(v_1, w_3), (v_3, w_2), (v_4, w_6), (v_5, w_5)\}$ , an augmenting path (*p*) is given by the vertices with the order  $v_2, w_2, v_3, w_6, v_4, w_1$ .



We can augment a matching *S* using its augmenting path *p* as follows:

We remove the edges of S in p, and add the edges in p which are not in S.

The new edge set is obviously a matching. Note that the number of edges in S on an augmenting path is one fewer than the number of the remaining edges. Therefore, the number of edges in a matching increases by one after the augmenting operation.

# Outline

### Matchings

- Maximum Matchings
- M-augmenting path
- Hall's Theorem
- The marriage theorem
- 2 Covering
- Matchings-Examples
  Matching in a bipartite graph
- 4 Covering-Examples

#### Example of Line covering

Let G = (V, E), be a graph. A subset C(E) is called a line covering of *G* if every vertex of *G* is incident with at least one edge in *C*,

i.e.,  $deg(v) \ge 1, \forall v \in G$ ,

because each vertex is connected with another vertex by an edge. Hence it has a minimum degree of 1.

#### Example of Line covering

Let G = (V, E), be a graph. A subset C(E) is called a line covering of *G* if every vertex of *G* is incident with at least one edge in *C*,

i.e.,  $deg(v) \ge 1, \forall v \in G$ ,

because each vertex is connected with another vertex by an edge. Hence it has a minimum degree of 1.



(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

Its subgraphs having line covering are as follows:

 $C_{1} = \{\{a, b\}, \{c, d\}\}\$   $C_{2} = \{\{a, c\}, \{b, d\}\}\$   $C_{3} = \{\{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}\}\$   $C_{4} = \{\{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{c, d\}\}\$ 

Line covering of *G* does not exist if and only if *G* has an isolated vertex.

Line covering of a graph with *n* vertices has at least [n/2] edges.

A line covering C of a graph G is said to be minimal if no edge can be deleted from C.



In the above graph,  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$ ,  $C_3$  are minimal line coverings, while  $C_4$  is not because we can delete  $\{b, c\}$ .

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

It is also known as smallest minimal line covering. A minimal line covering with minimum number of edges is called a minimum line covering of *G*. The number of edges in a minimum line covering in *G* is called the line covering number ( $\alpha$ ).

In the above example,  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are the minimum line covering of G and  $\alpha = 2$ .

- Every line covering contains a minimal line covering.
- Every line covering does not contain a minimum line covering (*C*<sub>3</sub> does not contain any minimum line covering).
- No minimal line covering contains a cycle.
- If a line covering *C* contains no paths of length 3 or more, then *C* is a minimal line covering because all the components of *C* are star graph and from a star graph, no edge can be deleted.

It is also known as smallest minimal line covering. A minimal line covering with minimum number of edges is called a minimum line covering of *G*. The number of edges in a minimum line covering in *G* is called the line covering number ( $\alpha$ ).

In the above example,  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are the minimum line covering of G and  $\alpha = 2$ .

- Every line covering contains a minimal line covering.
- Every line covering does not contain a minimum line covering (*C*<sub>3</sub> does not contain any minimum line covering).
- No minimal line covering contains a cycle.
- If a line covering *C* contains no paths of length 3 or more, then *C* is a minimal line covering because all the components of *C* are star graph and from a star graph, no edge can be deleted.

It is also known as smallest minimal line covering. A minimal line covering with minimum number of edges is called a minimum line covering of *G*. The number of edges in a minimum line covering in *G* is called the line covering number ( $\alpha$ ).

In the above example,  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are the minimum line covering of G and  $\alpha = 2$ .

- Every line covering contains a minimal line covering.
- Every line covering does not contain a minimum line covering (*C*<sub>3</sub> does not contain any minimum line covering).
- No minimal line covering contains a cycle.

• If a line covering *C* contains no paths of length 3 or more, then *C* is a minimal line covering because all the components of *C* are star graph and from a star graph, no edge can be deleted.

It is also known as smallest minimal line covering. A minimal line covering with minimum number of edges is called a minimum line covering of *G*. The number of edges in a minimum line covering in *G* is called the line covering number ( $\alpha$ ).

In the above example,  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are the minimum line covering of G and  $\alpha = 2$ .

- Every line covering contains a minimal line covering.
- Every line covering does not contain a minimum line covering (*C*<sub>3</sub> does not contain any minimum line covering).
- No minimal line covering contains a cycle.
- If a line covering *C* contains no paths of length 3 or more, then *C* is a minimal line covering because all the components of *C* are star graph and from a star graph, no edge can be deleted.

#### Examples of Vertex covering

Let G = (V, E), be a graph. A subset K of V is called a vertex covering of G, if every edge of G is incident with or covered by a vertex in K.

The subgraphs that can be derived from the above graph are as follows:

$$K_1 = \{b, c\}$$

$$K_2 = \{a, b, c\}$$

$$K_3 = \{b, c, d\}$$

$$K_4 = \{a, d\}$$

Here,  $K_1$ ,  $K_2$ , and  $K_3$  have vertex covering, whereas  $K_4$  does not have any vertex covering as it does not cover the edge {*bc*}.

#### **Examples of Minimal Vertex covering**

A subset K of graph G is said to be minimal vertex covering if no vertex can be deleted from K.

In the above graph, the subgraphs having vertex covering are as follows:

$$K_1 = \{b, c\}$$

$$K_2 = \{a, b, c\}$$

$$K_3 = \{b, c, d\}$$

Here,  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are minimal vertex coverings, whereas in  $K_3$ , vertex *d* can be deleted.

#### **Examples of Minimum Vertex covering**

It is also known as the smallest minimal vertex covering. A minimal vertex covering of graph G with minimum number of vertices is called the minimum vertex covering.

The number of vertices in a minimum vertex covering of *G* is called the vertex covering number ( $\beta$ ).

In the above graph,  $K_1$  is a minimum vertex cover of G, as it has only two vertices.  $\beta = 2$ .