Modeling and Simulation

Dr. G.H.J. Lanel

Lecture 1

Dr. G.H.J. Lanel (USJP)

Modeling and Simulation

Lecture 1 1 / 39

Outline

Outline

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

Outline



Introduction To Modeling and Simulation

- Introduce Modeling
- Introduce Simulation
- Model Building and Simulation
- Choose The Appropriate Simulation Tools
- Simulation World-views

A D b 4 A b

What is A model?

A Representation of an object, a system, or an idea in some form other than that of the entity itself. (Shannon)

< 口 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Types of Models:

• Physical: Scale models, prototype plants, ...

• **Mathematical:** Analytical queuing models, linear programs, simulation.

Types of Models:

- Physical: Scale models, prototype plants, ...
- **Mathematical:** Analytical queuing models, linear programs, simulation.

What is Simulation?

- A Simulation of a system is the operation of a model, which is a representation of that system.
- The model is amenable to manipulation which would be impossible, too expensive, or too impractical to perform on the system which it portrays.
- The operation of the model can be studied, and, from this, properties concerning the behavior of the actual system can be inferred.

What is Simulation?

- A Simulation of a system is the operation of a model, which is a representation of that system.
- The model is amenable to manipulation which would be impossible, too expensive, or too impractical to perform on the system which it portrays.
- The operation of the model can be studied, and, from this, properties concerning the behavior of the actual system can be inferred.

< 口 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

What is Simulation?

- A Simulation of a system is the operation of a model, which is a representation of that system.
- The model is amenable to manipulation which would be impossible, too expensive, or too impractical to perform on the system which it portrays.
- The operation of the model can be studied, and, from this, properties concerning the behavior of the actual system can be inferred.

< 口 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Designing and analyzing manufacturing systems.

- Evaluating H/W and S/W requirements for a computer system.
- Evaluating a new military weapons system or tactics.
- Determining ordering policies for an inventory system.
- Designing communications systems and message protocols for them.

- Designing and analyzing manufacturing systems.
- Evaluating H/W and S/W requirements for a computer system.
- Evaluating a new military weapons system or tactics.
- Determining ordering policies for an inventory system.
- Designing communications systems and message protocols for them.

- Designing and analyzing manufacturing systems.
- Evaluating H/W and S/W requirements for a computer system.
- Evaluating a new military weapons system or tactics.
- Determining ordering policies for an inventory system.
- Designing communications systems and message protocols for them.

- Designing and analyzing manufacturing systems.
- Evaluating H/W and S/W requirements for a computer system.
- Evaluating a new military weapons system or tactics.
- Determining ordering policies for an inventory system.
- Designing communications systems and message protocols for them.

- Designing and analyzing manufacturing systems.
- Evaluating H/W and S/W requirements for a computer system.
- Evaluating a new military weapons system or tactics.
- Determining ordering policies for an inventory system.
- Designing communications systems and message protocols for them.

Applications: Ctd

- Designing and operating transportation facilities such as freeways, airports, subways, or ports.
- Evaluating designs for service organizations such as hospitals, post offices, or fast-food restaurants.
- Analyzing financial or economic systems.

Applications: Ctd

- Designing and operating transportation facilities such as freeways, airports, subways, or ports.
- Evaluating designs for service organizations such as hospitals, post offices, or fast-food restaurants.
- Analyzing financial or economic systems.

Applications: Ctd

- Designing and operating transportation facilities such as freeways, airports, subways, or ports.
- Evaluating designs for service organizations such as hospitals, post offices, or fast-food restaurants.
- Analyzing financial or economic systems.

Define an achievable goal.

- Put together a complete mix of skills on the team.
- Involve the end-user.
- Choose the appropriate simulation tools.
- Model the appropriate level(s) of detail.
- Start early to collect the necessary input data.

- Define an achievable goal.
- Put together a complete mix of skills on the team.
- Involve the end-user.
- Ohoose the appropriate simulation tools.
- Model the appropriate level(s) of detail.
- Start early to collect the necessary input data.

- Define an achievable goal.
- Put together a complete mix of skills on the team.
- Involve the end-user.
- Choose the appropriate simulation tools.
- Model the appropriate level(s) of detail.
- Start early to collect the necessary input data.

- Define an achievable goal.
- Put together a complete mix of skills on the team.
- Involve the end-user.
- Ochoose the appropriate simulation tools.
- Model the appropriate level(s) of detail.
- Start early to collect the necessary input data.

- Define an achievable goal.
- Put together a complete mix of skills on the team.
- Involve the end-user.
- Ochoose the appropriate simulation tools.
- Model the appropriate level(s) of detail.

Start early to collect the necessary input data.

- Define an achievable goal.
- Put together a complete mix of skills on the team.
- Involve the end-user.
- Ochoose the appropriate simulation tools.
- Model the appropriate level(s) of detail.
- Start early to collect the necessary input data.

Provide adequate and on-going documentation.

- Develop a plan for adequate model verification. (Did we get the "right answers?")
- Develop a plan for model validation. (Did we ask the "right questions?")
- Develop a plan for statistical output analysis.

- Provide adequate and on-going documentation.
- Oevelop a plan for adequate model verification. (Did we get the "right answers?")
- Develop a plan for model validation. (Did we ask the "right questions?")
- Develop a plan for statistical output analysis.

- Provide adequate and on-going documentation.
- Develop a plan for adequate model verification. (Did we get the "right answers?")
- Develop a plan for model validation. (Did we ask the "right questions?")
- Develop a plan for statistical output analysis.

< 口 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

- Provide adequate and on-going documentation.
- Develop a plan for adequate model verification. (Did we get the "right answers?")
- Develop a plan for model validation. (Did we ask the "right questions?")
- Develop a plan for statistical output analysis.

We need:

- Knowledge of the system under investigation.
- System analyst skills (model formulation).
- Model building skills (model Programming).
- Data collection skills.
- Statistical skills (input data representation).

We need:

- Knowledge of the system under investigation.
- System analyst skills (model formulation).
- Model building skills (model Programming).
- Data collection skills.
- Statistical skills (input data representation).

We need:

- Knowledge of the system under investigation.
- System analyst skills (model formulation).
- Model building skills (model Programming).
- Data collection skills.
- Statistical skills (input data representation).

We need:

- Knowledge of the system under investigation.
- System analyst skills (model formulation).
- Model building skills (model Programming).
- Data collection skills.
- Statistical skills (input data representation).

We need:

- Knowledge of the system under investigation.
- System analyst skills (model formulation).
- Model building skills (model Programming).
- Data collection skills.
- Statistical skills (input data representation).

We need:

- More statistical skills (output data analysis).
- Even more statistical skills (design of experiments).
- Management skills (to get everyone pulling in the same direction).

We need:

- More statistical skills (output data analysis).
- Even more statistical skills (design of experiments).
- Management skills (to get everyone pulling in the same direction).

We need:

- More statistical skills (output data analysis).
- Even more statistical skills (design of experiments).
- Management skills (to get everyone pulling in the same direction).

Dr. G.H.J. Lanel (USJP)

Involve the end user

Modeling is a selling job!

Does anyone believe the results?

- Will anyone put the results into action?
- The End-user (your customer) can (and must) do all of the above BUT, first he must be convinced!
- He must believe it is HIS Model!

A (10) > A (10) > A (10)
- Modeling is a selling job!
- Does anyone believe the results?
- Will anyone put the results into action?
- The End-user (your customer) can (and must) do all of the above BUT, first he must be convinced!
- He must believe it is HIS Model!

- Modeling is a selling job!
- Does anyone believe the results?
- Will anyone put the results into action?
- The End-user (your customer) can (and must) do all of the above BUT, first he must be convinced!
- He must believe it is HIS Model!

- Modeling is a selling job!
- Does anyone believe the results?
- Will anyone put the results into action?
- The End-user (your customer) can (and must) do all of the above BUT, first he must be convinced!

He must believe it is HIS Model!

- Modeling is a selling job!
- Does anyone believe the results?
- Will anyone put the results into action?
- The End-user (your customer) can (and must) do all of the above BUT, first he must be convinced!
- He must believe it is HIS Model!

- Build Model in a General Purpose Language.
- Build Model in a General Simulation Language.
- Use a Special Purpose Simulation Package.

Build Model in a General Purpose Language.

Build Model in a General Simulation Language.

Use a Special Purpose Simulation Package.

- Build Model in a General Purpose Language.
- 2 Build Model in a General Simulation Language.
- Use a Special Purpose Simulation Package.

- Build Model in a General Purpose Language.
- 2 Build Model in a General Simulation Language.
- Use a Special Purpose Simulation Package.

• Advantages:

- Little or no additional software cost.
- Universally available (portable).
- No additional training (Everybody knows(language X)!)

Disadvantages:

- Every model starts from scratch.
- Very little reusable code.
- Long development cycle for each model.
- Difficult verification phase.

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

• Advantages:

- Little or no additional software cost.
- Universally available (portable).
- No additional training (Everybody knows(language X)!)

• Disadvantages:

- Every model starts from scratch.
- Very little reusable code.
- Long development cycle for each model.
- Difficult verification phase.

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

• Advantages:

- Little or no additional software cost.
- Universally available (portable).
- No additional training (Everybody knows(language X)!)

Disadvantages:

- Every model starts from scratch.
- Very little reusable code.
- Long development cycle for each model.
- Difficult verification phase.

• Advantages:

- Little or no additional software cost.
- Universally available (portable).
- No additional training (Everybody knows(language X)!)

• Disadvantages:

- Every model starts from scratch.
- Very little reusable code.
- Long development cycle for each model.
- Difficult verification phase.

• Advantages:

- Little or no additional software cost.
- Universally available (portable).
- No additional training (Everybody knows(language X)!)

• Disadvantages:

- Every model starts from scratch.
- Very little reusable code.
- Long development cycle for each model.
- Difficult verification phase.

• Advantages:

- Little or no additional software cost.
- Universally available (portable).
- No additional training (Everybody knows(language X)!)

• Disadvantages:

- Every model starts from scratch.
- Very little reusable code.
- Long development cycle for each model.
- Difficult verification phase.

• Advantages:

- Little or no additional software cost.
- Universally available (portable).
- No additional training (Everybody knows(language X)!)

• Disadvantages:

- Every model starts from scratch.
- Very little reusable code.
- Long development cycle for each model.
- Difficult verification phase.

• FORTRAN: Probably more models than any other language.

- PASCAL: Not as universal as FORTRAN
- MODULA: Many improvements over PASCAL
- ADA: Department of Defense attempt at standardization
- C, C++ : Object-oriented programming language

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

- FORTRAN: Probably more models than any other language.
- PASCAL: Not as universal as FORTRAN
- MODULA: Many improvements over PASCAL
- ADA: Department of Defense attempt at standardization
- C, C++ : Object-oriented programming language

- FORTRAN: Probably more models than any other language.
- PASCAL: Not as universal as FORTRAN
- MODULA: Many improvements over PASCAL
- ADA: Department of Defense attempt at standardization
- C, C++ : Object-oriented programming language

- FORTRAN: Probably more models than any other language.
- PASCAL: Not as universal as FORTRAN
- MODULA: Many improvements over PASCAL
- ADA: Department of Defense attempt at standardization
- C, C++ : Object-oriented programming language

- FORTRAN: Probably more models than any other language.
- PASCAL: Not as universal as FORTRAN
- MODULA: Many improvements over PASCAL
- ADA: Department of Defense attempt at standardization
- C, C++ : Object-oriented programming language

• Advantages:

- Standardized features often needed in modeling
- Shorter development cycle for each model
- Much assistance in model verification
- Very readable code

Disadvantages:

- Higher software cost (up-front)
- Additional training required
- Limited portability

• Advantages:

- Standardized features often needed in modeling
- Shorter development cycle for each model
- Much assistance in model verification
- Very readable code

Disadvantages:

- Higher software cost (up-front)
- Additional training required
- Limited portability

• Advantages:

- Standardized features often needed in modeling
- Shorter development cycle for each model
- Much assistance in model verification
- Very readable code

Disadvantages:

- Higher software cost (up-front)
- Additional training required
- Limited portability

• Advantages:

- Standardized features often needed in modeling
- Shorter development cycle for each model
- Much assistance in model verification
- Very readable code

Disadvantages:

- Higher software cost (up-front)
- Additional training required
- Limited portability

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

• Advantages:

- Standardized features often needed in modeling
- Shorter development cycle for each model
- Much assistance in model verification
- Very readable code

Disadvantages:

- Higher software cost (up-front)
- Additional training required
- Limited portability

• Advantages:

- Standardized features often needed in modeling
- Shorter development cycle for each model
- Much assistance in model verification
- Very readable code

Disadvantages:

- Higher software cost (up-front)
- Additional training required
- Limited portability

• Advantages:

- Standardized features often needed in modeling
- Shorter development cycle for each model
- Much assistance in model verification
- Very readable code

Disadvantages:

- Higher software cost (up-front)
- Additional training required
- Limited portability

• GPSS:

- Block-structured Language
- Interpretive Execution
- FORTRAN-based (Help blocks)
- World-view: Transactions/Facilities

• SIMSCRIPT II.5:

- English-like Problem Description Language
- Compiled Programs
- Complete language (no other underlying language)
- World-view: Processes/ Resources/ Continuous

• GPSS:

- Block-structured Language
- Interpretive Execution
- FORTRAN-based (Help blocks)
- World-view: Transactions/Facilities

• SIMSCRIPT II.5:

- English-like Problem Description Language
- Compiled Programs
- Complete language (no other underlying language)
- World-view: Processes/ Resources/ Continuous

• GPSS:

- Block-structured Language
- Interpretive Execution
- FORTRAN-based (Help blocks)
- World-view: Transactions/Facilities

• SIMSCRIPT II.5:

- English-like Problem Description Language
- Compiled Programs
- Complete language (no other underlying language)
- World-view: Processes/ Resources/ Continuous

• GPSS:

- Block-structured Language
- Interpretive Execution
- FORTRAN-based (Help blocks)
- World-view: Transactions/Facilities

• SIMSCRIPT II.5:

- English-like Problem Description Language
- Compiled Programs
- Complete language (no other underlying language)
- World-view: Processes/ Resources/ Continuous

• GPSS:

- Block-structured Language
- Interpretive Execution
- FORTRAN-based (Help blocks)
- World-view: Transactions/Facilities

• SIMSCRIPT II.5:

- English-like Problem Description Language
- Compiled Programs
- Complete language (no other underlying language)
- World-view: Processes/ Resources/ Continuous

• GPSS:

- Block-structured Language
- Interpretive Execution
- FORTRAN-based (Help blocks)
- World-view: Transactions/Facilities

• SIMSCRIPT II.5:

- English-like Problem Description Language
- Compiled Programs
- Complete language (no other underlying language)
- World-view: Processes/ Resources/ Continuous

• GPSS:

- Block-structured Language
- Interpretive Execution
- FORTRAN-based (Help blocks)
- World-view: Transactions/Facilities

• SIMSCRIPT II.5:

- English-like Problem Description Language
- Compiled Programs
- Complete language (no other underlying language)
- World-view: Processes/ Resources/ Continuous

• GPSS:

- Block-structured Language
- Interpretive Execution
- FORTRAN-based (Help blocks)
- World-view: Transactions/Facilities

• SIMSCRIPT II.5:

- English-like Problem Description Language
- Compiled Programs
- Complete language (no other underlying language)
- World-view: Processes/ Resources/ Continuous

• MODSIM III:

- Modern Object-Oriented Language
- Modularity Compiled Programs
- Based on Modula2 (but compiles into C)
- World-view: Processes

SIMULA:

- ALGOL-based Problem Description Language
- Compiled Programs
- World-view: Processes

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))
• MODSIM III:

- Modern Object-Oriented Language
- Modularity Compiled Programs
- Based on Modula2 (but compiles into C)
- World-view: Processes

SIMULA:

- ALGOL-based Problem Description Language
- Compiled Programs
- World-view: Processes

• MODSIM III:

- Modern Object-Oriented Language
- Modularity Compiled Programs
- Based on Modula2 (but compiles into C)
- World-view: Processes

SIMULA:

- ALGOL-based Problem Description Language
- Compiled Programs
- World-view: Processes

• MODSIM III:

- Modern Object-Oriented Language
- Modularity Compiled Programs
- Based on Modula2 (but compiles into C)
- World-view: Processes

SIMULA:

- ALGOL-based Problem Description Language
- Compiled Programs
- World-view: Processes

• MODSIM III:

- Modern Object-Oriented Language
- Modularity Compiled Programs
- Based on Modula2 (but compiles into C)
- World-view: Processes
- SIMULA:
 - ALGOL-based Problem Description Language
 - Compiled Programs
 - World-view: Processes

• MODSIM III:

- Modern Object-Oriented Language
- Modularity Compiled Programs
- Based on Modula2 (but compiles into C)
- World-view: Processes
- SIMULA:
 - ALGOL-based Problem Description Language
 - Compiled Programs
 - World-view: Processes

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

• MODSIM III:

- Modern Object-Oriented Language
- Modularity Compiled Programs
- Based on Modula2 (but compiles into C)
- World-view: Processes
- SIMULA:
 - ALGOL-based Problem Description Language
 - Compiled Programs
 - World-view: Processes

• SLAM:

- Block-structured Language
- Interpretive Execution
- FORTRAN-based (and extended)
- World-view: Network / event / continuous
- CSIM:
 - process-oriented language
 - C-based (C++ based)
 - World-view: Processes

• SLAM:

- Block-structured Language
- Interpretive Execution
- FORTRAN-based (and extended)
- World-view: Network / event / continuous

• CSIM:

- process-oriented language
- C-based (C++ based)
- World-view: Processes

• SLAM:

- Block-structured Language
- Interpretive Execution
- FORTRAN-based (and extended)
- World-view: Network / event / continuous

• CSIM:

- process-oriented language
- C-based (C++ based)
- World-view: Processes

• SLAM:

- Block-structured Language
- Interpretive Execution
- FORTRAN-based (and extended)
- World-view: Network / event / continuous

• CSIM:

- process-oriented language
- C-based (C++ based)
- World-view: Processes

• SLAM:

- Block-structured Language
- Interpretive Execution
- FORTRAN-based (and extended)
- World-view: Network / event / continuous
- CSIM:
 - process-oriented language
 - C-based (C++ based)
 - World-view: Processes

• SLAM:

- Block-structured Language
- Interpretive Execution
- FORTRAN-based (and extended)
- World-view: Network / event / continuous
- CSIM:
 - process-oriented language
 - C-based (C++ based)
 - World-view: Processes

イロト イポト イヨト イヨ

• SLAM:

- Block-structured Language
- Interpretive Execution
- FORTRAN-based (and extended)
- World-view: Network / event / continuous
- CSIM:
 - process-oriented language
 - C-based (C++ based)
 - World-view: Processes

Advantages:

- Very quick development of complex models
- Short learning cycle
- No programming—minimal errors in usage

Disadvantages:

- High cost of software
- Limited scope of applicability
- Limited flexibility (may not fit your specific application)

Advantages:

Very quick development of complex models

Short learning cycle

No programming—minimal errors in usage

Disadvantages:

- High cost of software
- Limited scope of applicability
- Limited flexibility (may not fit your specific application)

Advantages:

- Very quick development of complex models
- Short learning cycle
- No programming-minimal errors in usage

• Disadvantages:

- High cost of software
- Limited scope of applicability
- Limited flexibility (may not fit your specific application)

Advantages:

- Very quick development of complex models
- Short learning cycle
- No programming-minimal errors in usage
- Disadvantages:
 - High cost of software
 - Limited scope of applicability
 - Limited flexibility (may not fit your specific application)

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Advantages:

- Very quick development of complex models
- Short learning cycle
- No programming-minimal errors in usage
- Disadvantages:
 - High cost of software
 - Limited scope of applicability
 - Limited flexibility (may not fit your specific application)

Advantages:

- Very quick development of complex models
- Short learning cycle
- No programming-minimal errors in usage

• Disadvantages:

- High cost of software
- Limited scope of applicability
- Limited flexibility (may not fit your specific application)

• NETWORK II.5: Simulator for computer systems.

- OPNET: Simulator for communication networks, including wireless networks
- COMNET III: Simulator for communications networks
- ADA: Department of Defense attempt at standardization
- SIMFACTORY: Simulator for manufacturing operations

- NETWORK II.5: Simulator for computer systems.
- OPNET: Simulator for communication networks, including wireless networks
- COMNET III: Simulator for communications networks
- ADA: Department of Defense attempt at standardization
- SIMFACTORY: Simulator for manufacturing operations

- NETWORK II.5: Simulator for computer systems.
- OPNET: Simulator for communication networks, including wireless networks
- COMNET III: Simulator for communications networks
- ADA: Department of Defense attempt at standardization
- SIMFACTORY: Simulator for manufacturing operations

- NETWORK II.5: Simulator for computer systems.
- OPNET: Simulator for communication networks, including wireless networks
- COMNET III: Simulator for communications networks
- ADA: Department of Defense attempt at standardization
- SIMFACTORY: Simulator for manufacturing operations

- NETWORK II.5: Simulator for computer systems.
- OPNET: Simulator for communication networks, including wireless networks
- COMNET III: Simulator for communications networks
- ADA: Department of Defense attempt at standardization
- SIMFACTORY: Simulator for manufacturing operations

A (10) A (10) A (10)

Many people think of the cost of a simulation only in terms of the software package price.

There are actually at least three components to the cost of simulation:

- Purchase price of the software
- Programmer / Analyst time
- Timeliness of Results

Many people think of the cost of a simulation only in terms of the software package price.

There are actually at least three components to the cost of simulation:

Purchase price of the software

- Programmer / Analyst time
- Timeliness of Results

Many people think of the cost of a simulation only in terms of the software package price.

There are actually at least three components to the cost of simulation:

- Purchase price of the software
- Programmer / Analyst time
- Timeliness of Results

Many people think of the cost of a simulation only in terms of the software package price.

There are actually at least three components to the cost of simulation:

- Purchase price of the software
- Programmer / Analyst time
- Timeliness of Results

Many people think of the cost of a simulation only in terms of the software package price.

There are actually at least three components to the cost of simulation:

- Purchase price of the software
- Programmer / Analyst time
- Timeliness of Results

• System:

• A group of objects that are joined together in some regular interaction or interdependence toward the accomplishment of some purpose

• Entity

- An object of interest in the system.
- E.g., customers at a bank

• System:

• A group of objects that are joined together in some regular interaction or interdependence toward the accomplishment of some purpose

Entity

- An object of interest in the system.
- E.g., customers at a bank

• System:

- A group of objects that are joined together in some regular interaction or interdependence toward the accomplishment of some purpose
- Entity
- An object of interest in the system.
- E.g., customers at a bank

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

• System:

- A group of objects that are joined together in some regular interaction or interdependence toward the accomplishment of some purpose
- Entity
- An object of interest in the system.
- E.g., customers at a bank

Terminology: Ctd

• Attribute:

- a property of an entity
- E.g., checking account balance
- Activity:
 - Represents a time period of specified length.
 - Collection of operations that transform the state of an entity
 - E.g., making bank deposits

Terminology: Ctd

• Attribute:

- a property of an entity
- E.g., checking account balance
- Activity:
 - Represents a time period of specified length.
 - Collection of operations that transform the state of an entity
 - E.g., making bank deposits

Terminology: Ctd

• Attribute:

- a property of an entity
- E.g., checking account balance
- Activity:
 - Represents a time period of specified length.
 - Collection of operations that transform the state of an entity
 - E.g., making bank deposits
• Attribute:

- a property of an entity
- E.g., checking account balance
- Activity:
 - Represents a time period of specified length.
 - · Collection of operations that transform the state of an entity
 - E.g., making bank deposits

• Attribute:

- a property of an entity
- E.g., checking account balance
- Activity:
 - Represents a time period of specified length.
 - Collection of operations that transform the state of an entity
 - E.g., making bank deposits

• Event:

change in the system state

• E.g., arrival; beginning of a new execution; departure

• Activity:

- Define the state of the system
- Can restart simulation from state variables
- E.g., length of the job queue.

• Event:

- change in the system state
- E.g., arrival; beginning of a new execution; departure

Activity:

- Define the state of the system
- Can restart simulation from state variables
- E.g., length of the job queue.

• Event:

- change in the system state
- E.g., arrival; beginning of a new execution; departure

Activity:

- Define the state of the system
- Can restart simulation from state variables
- E.g., length of the job queue.

• Event:

- change in the system state
- E.g., arrival; beginning of a new execution; departure

Activity:

- Define the state of the system
- Can restart simulation from state variables
- E.g., length of the job queue.

• Event:

- change in the system state
- E.g., arrival; beginning of a new execution; departure

• Activity:

- Define the state of the system
- Can restart simulation from state variables
- E.g., length of the job queue.

Process:

Sequence of events ordered on time

Note: the three concepts(event, process,and activity) give rise to three alternative ways of building discrete simulation models

Dr. G.H.J. Lanel (USJP)

Lecture 1 27 / 39

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Process:

• Sequence of events ordered on time

Note: the three concepts(event, process, and activity) give rise to three alternative ways of building discrete simulation models

• Pure Continuous Simulation

- Pure Discrete Simulation
 - Event-oriented
 - Activity-oriented
 - Process-oriented
- Combined Discrete / Continuous Simulation

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Pure Continuous Simulation

- Pure Discrete Simulation
 - Event-oriented
 - Activity-oriented
 - Process-oriented
- Combined Discrete / Continuous Simulation

Pure Continuous Simulation

- Pure Discrete Simulation
 - Event-oriented
 - Activity-oriented
 - Process-oriented

Combined Discrete / Continuous Simulation

- Pure Continuous Simulation
- Pure Discrete Simulation
 - Event-oriented
 - Activity-oriented
 - Process-oriented

Combined Discrete / Continuous Simulation

- Pure Continuous Simulation
- Pure Discrete Simulation
 - Event-oriented
 - Activity-oriented
 - Process-oriented
- Combined Discrete / Continuous Simulation

Examples of both types of models

• Continuous Time and Discrete Time Models:

CPU scheduling model vs. number of students attending the class

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Examples of both types of models

• Continuous Time and Discrete Time Models:

CPU scheduling model vs. number of students attending the class

Examples of both types of models

• Continuous Time and Discrete Time Models:

CPU scheduling model vs. number of students attending the class



Examples: Ctd

• Continuous State and Discrete State Models:

Example: Time spent by students in a weekly class vs. Number of jobs in Q.

Examples: Ctd

• Continuous State and Discrete State Models:

Example: Time spent by students in a weekly class vs. Number of jobs in Q.

Examples: Ctd

• Continuous State and Discrete State Models:

Example: Time spent by students in a weekly class vs. Number of jobs in Q.



• Deterministic and Probabilistic Models:

• Static and Dynamic Models:

CPU scheduling model vs. $E = mc^2$

Dr. G.H.J. Lanel (USJP)

• Deterministic and Probabilistic Models:



• Static and Dynamic Models:

CPU scheduling model vs. $E = mc^2$

< 17 ▶

• Deterministic and Probabilistic Models:

• Static and Dynamic Models:

PU scheduling model vs. $E = mc^2$

Dr. G.H.J. Lanel (USJP)

• Deterministic and Probabilistic Models:



• Static and Dynamic Models:

PU scheduling model vs. $E = mc^2$

4 A N

• Deterministic and Probabilistic Models:

Static and Dynamic Models:
CPU scheduling model vs. *E* = mc²

• Define the boundaries of the system to be modeled.

- Some characteristics of "the environment" (outside the boundaries) may need to be included in the model.
- Not all subsystems will require the same level of detail.
- Control the tendency to model in great detail those elements of the system which are well understood, while skimming over other, less well-understood sections.

- Define the boundaries of the system to be modeled.
- Some characteristics of "the environment" (outside the boundaries) may need to be included in the model.
- Not all subsystems will require the same level of detail.
- Control the tendency to model in great detail those elements of the system which are well understood, while skimming over other, less well-understood sections.

- Define the boundaries of the system to be modeled.
- Some characteristics of "the environment" (outside the boundaries) may need to be included in the model.
- Not all subsystems will require the same level of detail.
- Control the tendency to model in great detail those elements of the system which are well understood, while skimming over other, less well-understood sections.

- Define the boundaries of the system to be modeled.
- Some characteristics of "the environment" (outside the boundaries) may need to be included in the model.
- Not all subsystems will require the same level of detail.
- Control the tendency to model in great detail those elements of the system which are well understood, while skimming over other, less well-understood sections.

• Data comes in two quantities:

TOO MUCH!! TOO LITTLE!!

- With too much data, we need techniques for reducing it to a form usable in our model.
- With too little data, we need information which can be represented by statistical distributions.

A (10) A (10) A (10)

Data comes in two quantities: TOO MUCH!!

TOO LITTLE!!

- With too much data, we need techniques for reducing it to a form usable in our model.
- With too little data, we need information which can be represented by statistical distributions.

Data comes in two quantities: TOO MUCH!! TOO LITTLE!!

- With too much data, we need techniques for reducing it to a form usable in our model.
- With too little data, we need information which can be represented by statistical distributions.

A (10) A (10) A (10)

- Data comes in two quantities: TOO MUCH!!
 TOO LITTLE!!
- With too much data, we need techniques for reducing it to a form usable in our model.
- With too little data, we need information which can be represented by statistical distributions.

- Data comes in two quantities: TOO MUCH!! TOO LITTLE!!
- With too much data, we need techniques for reducing it to a form usable in our model.
- With too little data, we need information which can be represented by statistical distributions.

A (10) A (10) A (10)

Provide adequate and on-going documentation

In general, programmers hate to document. (They love to program!)

- Documentation is always their lowest priority item. (Usually scheduled for just after the budget runs out!)
- They believe that"only wimps read manuals."
- What can we do?
 - Use self-documenting languages
 - Insist on built-in user instructions(help screens)
 - Set (or insist on) standards for coding style

Provide adequate and on-going documentation

- In general, programmers hate to document. (They love to program!)
- Documentation is always their lowest priority item. (Usually scheduled for just after the budget runs out!)
- They believe that"only wimps read manuals."
- What can we do?
 - Use self-documenting languages
 - Insist on built-in user instructions(help screens)
 - Set (or insist on) standards for coding style
- In general, programmers hate to document. (They love to program!)
- Documentation is always their lowest priority item. (Usually scheduled for just after the budget runs out!)
- They believe that"only wimps read manuals."
- What can we do?
 - Use self-documenting languages
 - Insist on built-in user instructions(help screens)
 - Set (or insist on) standards for coding style

- In general, programmers hate to document. (They love to program!)
- Documentation is always their lowest priority item. (Usually scheduled for just after the budget runs out!)
- They believe that"only wimps read manuals."
- What can we do?
 - Use self-documenting languages
 - Insist on built-in user instructions(help screens)
 - Set (or insist on) standards for coding style

- In general, programmers hate to document. (They love to program!)
- Documentation is always their lowest priority item. (Usually scheduled for just after the budget runs out!)
- They believe that"only wimps read manuals."
- What can we do?
 - Use self-documenting languages
 - Insist on built-in user instructions(help screens)
 - Set (or insist on) standards for coding style

- In general, programmers hate to document. (They love to program!)
- Documentation is always their lowest priority item. (Usually scheduled for just after the budget runs out!)
- They believe that"only wimps read manuals."
- What can we do?
 - Use self-documenting languages
 - Insist on built-in user instructions(help screens)
 - Set (or insist on) standards for coding style

- In general, programmers hate to document. (They love to program!)
- Documentation is always their lowest priority item. (Usually scheduled for just after the budget runs out!)
- They believe that"only wimps read manuals."
- What can we do?
 - Use self-documenting languages
 - Insist on built-in user instructions(help screens)
 - Set (or insist on) standards for coding style

• Did we get the "right answers" (No such thing!!)

- Simulation provides something that no other technique does:
 - Step by step tracing of the model execution.
 - This provides a very natural way of checking the internal consistency of the model.

- Did we get the "right answers" (No such thing!!)
- Simulation provides something that no other technique does:
 - Step by step tracing of the model execution.
 - This provides a very natural way of checking the internal consistency of the model.

- Did we get the "right answers" (No such thing!!)
- Simulation provides something that no other technique does:
 - Step by step tracing of the model execution.
 - This provides a very natural way of checking the internal consistency of the model.

- Did we get the "right answers" (No such thing!!)
- Simulation provides something that no other technique does:
 - Step by step tracing of the model execution.
 - This provides a very natural way of checking the internal consistency of the model.

• VALIDATION: "Doing the right thing" or "Asking the right questions"

- How do we know our model represents the system under investigation?
 - Compare to existing system?
 - Deterministic case?

- VALIDATION: "Doing the right thing" or "Asking the right questions"
- How do we know our model represents the system under investigation?
 - Compare to existing system?
 - Deterministic case?

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

- VALIDATION: "Doing the right thing" or "Asking the right questions"
- How do we know our model represents the system under investigation?
 - Compare to existing system?
 - Deterministic case?

- VALIDATION: "Doing the right thing" or "Asking the right questions"
- How do we know our model represents the system under investigation?
 - Compare to existing system?
 - Deterministic case?

Develop a plan for statistical output analysis

• How much is enough? Long runs versus Replications

Techniques for Analysis

Develop a plan for statistical output analysis

- How much is enough? Long runs versus Replications
- Techniques for Analysis

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

End!

Dr. G.H.J. Lanel (USJP)

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト